DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Dication		
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18 U.S.C. § 1344 - Bank Fraud 26 U.S.C. § 7201 - Tax Evasion 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) - Criminal Minor	of City, as Translations		
18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and 28 U.S.C. § 246) (c) - Criminal Minor Forfeiture Misdemeanor	DEFENDANT - U.S - NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
PENALTY: 18 U.S.C. § 1344 – 30 years prison, \$1,000,000 fine or twice the gain/loss from the offense, whichever is greater, 5 yrs supervised release, \$100 assessment; 26 U.S.C. § 7201 – 5 yrs prison, \$250,000 fine or twice the gain/loss from the offense, whichever is greater, 3 yrs supervised release, \$100 assessment	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER DEFENDANT		
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY		
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges		
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	,		
give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive		
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)		
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge		
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution		
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date filed		
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST		
Name and Office of Person	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year		
Furnishing Information on this form MELINDA HAAG	TO U.S. CUSTODY		
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency			
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Thomas Moore, AUSA, Tax Div.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted		
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFORM	MATION OR COMMENTS		
	I Amount:		
	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment		
Defendant Address:	an incoded, since magistrate has scrieduled arraignment		
Dat	Before Judge:		
Comments:			

United States District Court

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ED

VENUE: SAN JOSE

2015 APR -7 A 4: 34

PICMARD W. WIERING CLERK II'S DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

BLF

HIEN MINH GIREN 15 203

DEFENDANT(S).

18 U.S.C. § 1344 - Bank Fraud

26 U.S.C. § 7201 Tax Evasion

18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) - Criminal Forfeiture

A true bill.	
	Foreman
Filed in open court this	M_day of
April 20.	15
Stephen Stephen	ybana
V	Clerk
Shan	Bail \$ 10 Sail wan out
2 37 .	, , ,

FILED MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) 1 United States Attorney 2015 APR -7 A 4: 34 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff, VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1344 – Bank Fraud; 26 U.S.C. § 7201-Tax Evasion 13 v. 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and 28 U.S.C. 14 § 2461(c) - Criminal Forfeiture HIEN MINH NGUYEN, 15 SAN JOSE VENUE 16 Defendant. 17 INDICTMENT 18 19 The Grand Jury charges: INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS 20 HIEN MINH NGUYEN ("NGUYEN") resided in San Jose, California, at all relevant 1. 21 22 times. NGUYEN, a priest, was employed by the Diocese of San Jose ("DSJ") in San Jose, 23 2. California, from 1994 to the present. 24 NGUYEN, as an employee of DSJ, held various positions including Director of the 25 3. Vietnamese Catholic Center ("VCC"), also known as Trung Tam Cong Giao ("TTCG"), and Vicar for 26 Vietnamese Ministry. 27 28

1

Indictment

4. NGUYEN, as an employee, had sole signature authority on the Vietnamese Catholic Center's bank account maintained at Bank of America from 2005 through 2011.

COUNTS ONE THROUGH FOURTEEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1344(2) – Bank Fraud)

- 5. Paragraphs 1 through 4 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 6. From on or about September 28, 2005, to on or about December 18, 2007, within the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

HIEN MINH NGUYEN,

knowingly and with intent to defraud executed a scheme and artifice to defraud Wells Fargo N.A., a federally chartered and insured financial institution, and to obtain moneys, funds, credits, assets, securities, and other property owned by, and under the custody and control of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., by means of material false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, promises and the concealment of material facts, in part by endorsing with his signature and making deposits of his employer's checks into his personal bank account, without his employer's knowledge or consent under the false pretense that he had the authority to do so, knowing said deposits to be without his employer's knowledge or consent.

THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

- 7. NGUYEN, during church services from 2005 through 2008, requested parishioners to make donations to the VCC and represented the donations would benefit the VCC.
- 8. Parishioners wrote checks payable to the VCC or TTCG and gave those checks to NGUYEN for the VCC.
- 9. As part of the scheme to defraud Wells Fargo Bank, NGUYEN caused to be deposited or deposited into his personal bank account at Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. checks payable to the VCC or TTCG, which he endorsed with his signature, under the false pretense or misrepresentation that his employer authorized him to make such endorsements and deposits.

THE DEPOSITS

10. On or about the dates listed below, for the purpose of executing the scheme described above, NGUYEN deposited and caused to be deposited to his personal Wells Fargo account the checks described below for each count, each action constituting a separate count:

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	П

COUNT	DATE OF	PAYEE	DEPOSIT
	DEPOSIT		
1	9/28/ 2005	Trung Tam Cong Giao S.J.	K.N.'s Bank of America account
			check for \$1,500
2	2/2/2006	Trung Tam Cong Giao VN	K.N.'s Bank of America account
	·	S.J.	check for \$500
3	5/8/2006	Trung Tam Cong Giao S.J.	K.N.'s Bank of America account
			check for \$1,000
4	10/31/2006	Trung Tam Cong Giao S.J.	K.N.'s Bank of America account
			check for \$500
5	12/18/ 2007	Trung Tam Cong Giao San	K.N.'s Bank of America account
		Jose	check for \$300
6	10/3/ 2005	Vietnamese Catholic	H.N.'s California Savings and Loan
		Center	account check for \$1,000
7	1/23/2006	VCC Hien Nguyen	H.N.'s California Savings and Loan
			account check for \$700
8	10/31/2006	Vietnamese Catholic	H.N.'s Citibank check for \$500
		Center	
9	6/4/ 2007	Vietnamese Catholic	H.N.'s Washington Mutual account
		Center	check for \$1,000
10	10/3/ 2005	Vietnamese Catholic	B.T.'s Wells Fargo account check
		Center	for \$1,000
11	8/15/ 2006	Vietnamese Catholic	C.N.'s Washington Mutual account
		Center	check for \$3,000
12	9/28/ 2006	Trung Tam Cong Giao	K.T.T.'s Bank of America account
		Viet Nam	check for \$3,000
13	10/15/ 2007	VCC	K.T.Y.C.C.'s Bank of America
			account check for \$2,500
14	11/14/ 2007	Trung Tam Cong Giao VN	J.T.N.'s Bank of America account
	·		check for \$2,500

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code Section 1344(2).

COUNT FIFTEEN: (26 U.S.C. § 7201 - Tax Evasion)

11. On or about April 15, 2009, in the Northern District of California, the defendant, HIEN MINH NGUYEN,

a resident of San Jose, California, did willfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a part of the income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 2008 by preparing, signing, and delivering, and causing to be prepared, signed, and delivered, a false and fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, which tax return was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein he stated that his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of \$55,000, and that the amount of tax due and owing thereon was \$9,960, whereas, as he then and there

Indictment

27

28

1 2

4 5

well knew and believed, his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of \$392,516, upon which taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$114,735.

All in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

COUNT SIXTEEN: (26 U.S.C. § 7201 - Tax Evasion)

12. On or about April 15, 2010, in the Northern District of California, the defendant, HIEN MINH NGUYEN,

a resident of San Jose, California, did willfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a part of the income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 2009 by preparing, signing, and delivering, and causing to be prepared, signed, and delivered, a false and fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, which tax return was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein he stated that his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of \$41,114, and that the amount of tax due and owing thereon was \$6,186, whereas, as he then and there well knew and believed, his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of \$417,614, upon which taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$123,276.

All in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

COUNT SEVENTEEN: (26 U.S.C. § 7201 - Tax Evasion)

On or about April 15, 2011, in the Northern District of California, the defendant, HIEN MINH NGUYEN,

a resident of San Jose, California, did willfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a part of the income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 2010 by preparing, signing, and delivering, and causing to be prepared, signed, and delivered, a false and fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, which tax return was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein he stated that his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of \$48,816, and that the amount of tax due and owing thereon was \$7,796, whereas, as he then and there well knew and believed, his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of \$384,272, upon which taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$110,963.

All in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

14.

1

9

11 12

14

13

1516

1718

19

21

20

22

24

25

26

27

income tax due and owing by him and to the United States of America for the calendar year 2011 by preparing, signing, and delivering, and causing to be prepared, signed, and delivered, a false and

HIEN MINH NGUYEN,

a resident of San Jose, California, did willfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a part of the

On or about October 15, 2012, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, which tax return was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein he stated that his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of

\$46,796, and that the amount of tax due and owing thereon was \$7,074, whereas, as he then and there well knew and believed, his taxable income for the calendar year was the sum of \$139,808, upon which

taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$31,994.

All in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (18 U.S.C. §§ 982(a)(2)(A)and 28 U.S.C. § 2461)

- 15. The allegations contained in Counts One through Fourteen of this Indictment are realleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
- 16. Upon conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Fourteen of this Indictment, the defendant,

HIEN MINH NGUYEN,

shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such violation(s). The property to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to a money judgment.

- 17. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of said property
 - a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b) has been transferred or sold to or deposited with, a third person;
 - c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
 - d) has been substantially diminished in value; or

28 |

1	e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without		
2	difficulty;		
3	the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21,		
4	United States Code, Section § 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1)		
5	and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).		
6	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A)and Title 28, United States		
7	Code, Section 2461(c).		
8	A True Bill		
9	DATED: 4/1/15		
10	FOREPERSON		
11	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney		
12	Places G. Brental		
13	PHILIP A. GUENTERT Deputy Chief, Criminal Division		
14	Deputy Chief, Chilinal Division		
15	Approved as to Form		
16	7.700rc		
17	THOMAS MOORE Assistant United States Attorney		
18	Chief, Tax Division		
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	·		
27	·		



United States District Court Northern District of California

FILED

CRIMINAL COVER SHIERT - 7 A 4: 34

Instructions: Effective January 3, 2012, this Criminal Cover Sheet must be completed and supplied and supplie

·		BL
Case Name:	CD	Tanumber: 203
USA v. HIEN MINH NGUY	EN	
Total Number of Defendants: 1	2-7 8 or more arges under 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and/or 1326?	Is This Case Under Seal? Yes No No
Yes Yes	No	•
Venue (Per Crim. L.R. 18-1):	hannened kennened kennened .	
SF OAK	SJ 🗸 EUR MON	
Is any defendant charged with Yes	a death-penalty-eligible crime?	Assigned AUSA (Lead Attorney): THOMAS MOORE, AUSA
Is this a RICO Act gang case? Yes	No 🗸	Date Submitted: 4/7/2015
Comments:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Print Clear Form